

CONF-8802127--4

538

# ATOMIC SPUTTERING IN THE ANALYTICAL ELECTRON MICROSCOPE\*

C. R. Bradley and N. J. Zaluzec  
Electron Microscopy Center for Materials Research  
Materials Science Division  
Argonne National Laboratory  
Argonne, IL 60439 USA

CONF-8802127--4

AUGUST 1988

DE89 003655

The submitted manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U. S. Government under contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38. Accordingly, the U. S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U. S. Government purposes.

## DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

\*Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, BES-Materials Sciences, under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38.

This manuscript was presented at the Workshop on "Electron-Beam Induced Spectroscopies at Very High Spatial Resolution", Aussois, France, March 1988.

# MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

82

# Atomic Sputtering in the Analytical Electron Microscope

C. R. Bradley and N. J. Zaluzec

Electron Microscopy Center for Materials Research

Argonne National Laboratory

Materials Science Division - Bldg 212

9700 S. Cass Ave.

Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

## Abstract

The advent of UHV medium voltage electron microscopes has brought the microanalyst to a regime of operating conditions in which electron beam induced damage can now be introduced to metallic specimens of medium to high atomic number. We report upon calculations of electron beam induced atomic sputtering which will have bearing upon the next generation of medium voltage analytical electron microscopes. The cross-section calculations reported herein have been completed for all solid elements of the periodic table for incident electron energies upto 1.5 MeV. All computer code needed to duplicate these computations is available through the EMMPDL.

## Introduction

For the last two decades, materials scientists have realized that the kinetic energy of a fast incident electron at high enough accelerating voltages is sufficient to displace atoms from their interatomic sites [1,2]. During this time high voltage electron microscopes (HVEM's) were routinely used to induce atomic displacement damage in materials as an aid to studying the mechanisms of radiation damage. For the most part, conventional TEM operating in the 100-200 kV regime were exempt from these effects in metallic systems, due in part to their low accelerating voltage

and relative poor vacuum environments. Recently, however, it has been shown that although the analytical electron microscope can be operated below the threshold for displacement damage to the bulk lattice, atomic displacement effects can give rise to preferential mass transport or loss in conventional 100-300 kV instruments [3,4].

### Results and Discussion

Atomic sputtering by electrons results from knock-on momentum transfer from the incident electrons to atomic nuclei. This momentum transfer is a function of the incident electron energy, the atomic mass of the specimen, and the binding energy. The energy transferred ( $T_T$ ) to an atom by a fast incident electron of mass  $m_0$ , is given by the expression [5]:

$$T_T = \frac{2 * T_0 * (T_0 + 2 * m_0 c^2) * \sin^2(\frac{\phi}{2})}{M c^2} \quad (1.)$$

where  $T_0$  is the kinetic energy of the incident electron ( $T_0 = eV_0$ ,  $e$ =electronic charge &  $V_0$ = accelerating potential),  $M$  is the mass of the nucleus and  $\phi$  the direction of scattering (forward scattering direction being defined as  $\phi=180^\circ$ ). Beyond some critical threshold the energy transferred to the atoms within the solid is sufficient to permanently displace ( $T_d$ ) an atom from its lattice site or sputter ( $T_s$ ) it from the electron exit surface of the solid. It is at these threshold energies where electron damage becomes important to microanalysis. If the atoms in the solid are no longer constrained within the lattice and can become mobile then this process may result in a change of the local composition. In order to assess the point at which radiation damage manifests itself, it is necessary to determine values for both  $T_d$  and  $T_s$ . For some materials of interest experimental values of  $T_d$  exist. These have been reviewed recently by King et al [6]. However, since the value of  $T_d$  is not generally known for

all elements, we obtain estimates of both  $T_d$  and  $T_s$ , using the sublimation energy ( $T_{sub}$ ) of a solid as a basis for estimation. As first suggested by Seitz and later confirmed by others,  $T_d$  is approximately  $4-5 \times T_{sub}$  [7,8]. Similiar relationships for  $T_s$  have not been established, however, it is reasonable to assume that its value should be in the range of  $T_{sub} \leq T_s \leq 2 T_{sub}$ . Table 1 documents values of  $T_T$ ,  $T_d$ ,  $T_s$ , for various systems as a function of accelerating voltage. The values of  $T_d$  were taken from the literature [6,8] and in this case do to their strong dependance upon crystallographic direction the minimum values reported were used here. Those of  $T_s$  were based upon the simple relationship assumed above. As one can see from this table the proximity of the threshold for atomic sputtering in metallic systems to the accelerating voltages currently available in modern analytical microscopes demands further study.

In order to further assess the magnitude of this surface sputtering phenomenon it is important to have estimates of the sputtering cross-sections by electrons. This sputtering process is expected to predominate in metallic systems in contrast to the desorption induced electron transitions (DIET) which rules in non-metallic specimens [9]. To calculate the sputtering cross-section the procedure developed by Oen [5] was followed, where we calculate the total cross-section  $\sigma_T$  for displacement by electrons using:

$$\sigma_T(T_0, T_d) = \int_{T_d}^{T_T} \frac{d\sigma}{dT} dT \quad (2.)$$

where  $d\sigma/dT$  is the relativistic differential electron scattering cross-section for transferring an energy  $T$  to an atom by an electron of kinetic energy  $T_0$  for the process having a threshold energy  $T_d$ . The computations begin by first numerically evaluating the total Mott cross-section, from which the Rutherford differential

cross-section is obtained. The quantity  $d\sigma/dT$  is then integrated to obtain the total displacement cross-section. The details of these calculations are available in an ANL-Technical Memorandum [10], and the corresponding computer code through the Electron Microscopy and Microanalysis Public Domain Library[11]. Calculations were performed on a DEC VAX 11/785 for all solid elements in the periodic table from threshold to 1.5 MeV. Threshold values of  $T_{\text{sub}}$ ,  $2T_{\text{sub}}$ ,  $4T_{\text{sub}}$ ,  $5T_{\text{sub}}$  and where available experimentally determined values of  $T_d$  were used in the calculations. The results of these calculations are summarized in extensive tables in the ANL Technical Memo, and are available upon request.

Figures 1 and 2 summarize fraction of these calculations by presenting the atomic sputtering cross-section as a function of atomic number for accelerating voltages of 100 , 300, and 1000 kV. In this calculation we have used the sputtering threshold energy  $T_s = T_{\text{sub}}$  (Fig. 1) and  $T_s = 2T_{\text{sub}}$  (Fig. 2) which should bracket the surface sputtering regime. We observe that at 300 kV and higher a substantial number of elements have cross-sections which exceed 300 Barns. These levels are comparable to the typical x-ray production cross-sections, and thus for thin specimens one can approach the situation where mass loss during a typical x-ray analysis experiment may be significant, as has been experimentally observed [4]. Consider for example the following order of magnitude calculation: for a cross-section of 100 Barns , a 1 nm probe having a current density of  $10^5 \text{ A/cm}^2$  in a material whose atomic surface density is 10 atoms/nm<sup>2</sup>, we calculate an atomic sputtering rate of ~500 atoms/sec at the exit surface of a thin TEM specimen.

Given this relatively high cross-section, one immediately asks the question as to why sputtering effects have not been reported extensively. Reference once again to Figure 1, shows that the sputtering cross-section for carbon is low. Hence, in instruments having poor vacuum systems the deposition of hydrocarbons on the surface will act as a barrier to sputtering inhibiting the process. The development of

clean, medium voltage UHV instruments may, therefore, open up a new set of problems for microanalysis.

In contrast we might also suggest that the electron sputtering process can lead to the development of a new microanalysis technique. Here, we consider the prospect that the sputtered (neutral) atoms leaving the exit surface of the specimen could be reionized by a tunable laser beam. These ions could then be subsequently extracted and analyzed using a conventional mass spectrometer. This has the potential of providing an extremely sensitive microanalysis technique, albeit a destructive one.

### References

1. P. Lucasson, J. de Microscopie 16, 183, (1973)
2. Cherns, D., Minter, F. J. and Nelson, R. S., Nucl. Inst. & Methods 132 (1976) p369.
3. J.F. Mansfield, P.R. Okamoto, L.E. Rehn, N.J. Zaluzec, Ultramicroscopy 21 (1987) 13-22
4. N.J. Zaluzec and J.F. Mansfield, Proceeding of Workshop on Intermediate Voltage Microscopy and Its Applications to Materials Science held at National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, May 1986, published by Philips Electronic Instruments 1987,
5. O.S. Oen, ORNL Report #TM-4897, (1973).
6. W.E. King et.al. Ultramicroscopy , 23, 345-354 1987
7. F. Seitz Discuss. Faraday Soc. 5, (1949), 571
8. T.E. Mitchell, G. Das, E.A. Kenik, Fundamental Aspects of Radiation Damage in Metals, Proceeding of Internati. Conf. in Gatlinburg, Tn, Oct. 1975, Ed. M. T. Robinson, F.W. Young, pub. ORNL, Oak Ridge Tn. Conf - 751006-P1 page 73-79
9. Desorption Induced by Electronic Transitions (DIET-1), Springer Tracts in Chemical Physics 24, Ed, N.H. Tolk, M.M. Traum, J.C. Tully, T.E. Madey, pub. Springer-Verlag, 1983
10. C.R. Bradley, Argonne National Laboratory ANL Technical Memo in preparation
11. N.J. Zaluzec, EMSA Bulletin Vol. 16, No. 1& 2, Vol 17, No.1 , and these preceedings
12. This work was supported by U.S. DoE , contract BES-MS W-31-109-Eng-38

**Table 1**  
**Comparison of Maximum Transferable Kinetic Energy to Selected**  
**Elements with Displacement and Sputtering Energies**  
**at 100, 200, 300 and 400 kV (all values in eV)**

Element	<-----T <sub>T</sub> ----->				T <sub>d</sub> <sup>1</sup>	T <sub>s</sub> <sup>2</sup>
	(100kV	200kV	300kV	400kV		
Al	8.93	19.5	31.6	45.3	16	3.5-7.0
Ti	5.00	11.0	17.8	25.5	15	4.9-9.8
V	4.73	10.3	16.72	24.0	29	5.3-10.6
Cr	4.63	10.1	16.38	23.5	21	4.1-8.2
Fe	4.31	9.40	15.25	21.8	16	4.3-8.6
Co	4.08	8.91	14.45	20.7	23	4.4-8.8
Ni	4.10	8.94	14.5	20.8	21	4.5-9.0
Cu	3.79	8.26	13.4	19.2	18	3.5-7.0
Zn	3.69	8.03	13.03	18.7	16	1.4-2.8
Nb	2.59	5.65	9.17	13.2	24	7.5-15.0
Mo	2.51	5.47	8.88	12.7	27	6.8-13.6
Ag	2.23	4.87	7.90	11.3	28	3.0-6.0
Cd	2.14	4.67	7.58	10.9	20	1.2-2.4
Ta	1.33	2.90	4.71	6.75	33	8.1-16.2
Pt	1.23	2.69	4.37	6.26	33	5.9-11.8
Au	1.22	2.67	4.32	6.2	36	3.8-7.6

Notes:

1. T<sub>d</sub> based upon experimentally measured values [ref 6,8]
2. T<sub>s</sub> approximated using assumed relation  $T_{\text{sub}} \leq T_s \leq 2T_{\text{sub}}$

### Figure Captions

**Figure 1.** Calculated atomic sputtering cross-section as a function of atomic number for accelerating voltages of 100, 300 and 1000 kV using an assumed threshold energy for sputtering of  $T_s = T_{\text{sub}}$ .

**Figure 2.** Calculated atomic sputtering cross-section as a function of atomic number for accelerating voltages of 100, 300 and 1000 kV using an assumed threshold energy for sputtering of  $T_s = 2T_{\text{sub}}$ .




Figure 1a.) Calculated Cross-section using  $T_s=T_{sub}$




Figure 1b.) Calculated Cross-section using  $T_s=T_{sub}$






Figure 2a.) Calculated Cross-section using  $T_s=2T_{sub}$




Figure 2b.) Calculated Cross-section using  $T_s=2T_{sub}$