

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
AT AUSTIN

WHAT STARTS HERE CHANGES THE WORLD

CHE384, From Data to Decisions: Measurement, Uncertainty, Analysis, and Modeling

Lecture 29

Measurement Uncertainty

Chris A. Mack
Adjunct Associate Professor

<http://www.lithoguru.com/scientist/statistics/>

© Chris Mack, 2015

1

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
AT AUSTIN

WHAT STARTS HERE CHANGES THE WORLD

All Measurements are Uncertain

- Measurement error exists, but we do not know what it is
 - If we knew the measurement error, we would subtract it out!
 - Unknown errors are called **uncertainties**
- Our goal is to estimate the uncertainty in our measurements
 - Random errors can be estimated using repeated measurements
 - Systematic errors require a sophisticated understanding of the measurement process

© Chris Mack, 2015

2

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
AT AUSTIN

WHAT STARTS HERE CHANGES THE WORLD

Why Evaluate Uncertainty?

- To allow realistic comparison of results
 - Different experiments, experimenters, over time, etc.
- To allow for more rigorous use of the data
 - Regression, model building, etc.
- Understanding the sources of uncertainty allows one to validate, and possibly improve, measurement procedures
 - How can we reduce uncertainty?
- Evaluating** uncertainty means you can **report** uncertainty – a requirement of good science

© Chris Mack, 2015

3

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
AT AUSTIN

WHAT STARTS HERE CHANGES THE WORLD

What is Data?

Data = the results of a measurement

- Definition of the thing being measured
- Measurement value (number plus units)
- Estimate of the uncertainty of each measurement
- Experimental context (measurement method + environment)
- Context uncertainty (uncertainty of controlled and uncontrolled input parameters)
- Measurement model (theory, assumptions and definitions used in making the measurement)

© Chris Mack, 2015

4

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
AT AUSTIN

WHAT STARTS HERE CHANGES THE WORLD

The Measurement Model

- The act of measurement requires a “paradigm”
 - A connected set of theories in a framework
 - Used to design and develop the measurement system
 - Almost all measurements are indirect (theories relate what is actually measured to what we want to measure)
- Measuring temperature (an example)
 - Thermometer: assumes linear coefficient of thermal expansion to convert length to temperature
 - Thermocouple: uses Seebeck effect to convert voltage difference into temperature difference
 - Resistance thermometer: relates change in resistance to change in temperature

© Chris Mack, 2015

5

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
AT AUSTIN

WHAT STARTS HERE CHANGES THE WORLD

Measurement Terms

- Metrology**: the science of measurement
- Measurand**: the thing being measured
- True Value**: the unknown (and unknowable) thing we wish to estimate
- Error**: true value – measured value
- Measurement Uncertainty**: an estimate of the dispersion of measurement values around the true value

© Chris Mack, 2015

6

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN WHAT STARTS HERE CHANGES THE WORLD

Uncertainty Components

- Systematic errors
 - Produce a bias in the measurement result
 - We look for and try to correct for all systematic errors, but we are never totally successful
 - We try to put an upper limit on how big we expect systematic errors could be
- Random errors
 - Can be evaluated statistically, through repeated measurements

© Chris Mack, 2015 7

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN WHAT STARTS HERE CHANGES THE WORLD

Other Measurement Terms

- **Accuracy**: the same as error, it can never be known, but is estimated as the maximum systematic error that might exist
- **Precision**: the standard deviation of repeated measurements (random error component)
- **Repeatability**: standard deviation of repeated measurements under conditions as nearly identical as possible
- **Reproducibility**: standard deviation of repeated measurements under conditions that vary in a typical way (different operators, instruments, days)

© Chris Mack, 2015 8

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN WHAT STARTS HERE CHANGES THE WORLD

Uncertainty Types

- Type A: uncertainty estimates obtained by statistical analysis of repeated measurements
 - **Standard uncertainty** = standard deviation of repeated measurements
 - Fundamentally caused by **fluctuations in nature** (shot noise, Brownian motion, Boltzmann statistics, etc.) **that propagate through the measurement model**
- Type B: uncertainty estimates evaluated by other techniques (scientific judgment)
 - Prior experience or data, manufacturer's specs, calibration reports, reported uncertainty value

© Chris Mack, 2015 9

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN WHAT STARTS HERE CHANGES THE WORLD

Sources of Type B Uncertainty

- Incomplete definition of the measurement
- Imperfect realization of the procedure
- Sample is not representative
- Environmental conditions
- Biases in reading analog scales
- Instrument resolution
- Values of constants used in calculations
- Changes in measuring instrument performance since last calibration
- Approximations/assumptions in the measurement model

© Chris Mack, 2015 10

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN WHAT STARTS HERE CHANGES THE WORLD

Combined Uncertainty (u_c)

- Combine individual standard uncertainties (u_i) arising from Type A and/or Type B evaluation (including their covariances)

$$u_c^2 = u_1^2 + u_2^2 + 2cov(1,2)$$
- We usually use a propagation of uncertainties approach

© Chris Mack, 2015 11

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN WHAT STARTS HERE CHANGES THE WORLD

Expanded Uncertainty

- The combined standard uncertainty (u_c) is a standard deviation
- We often express our uncertainty as a multiple of the standard deviation

$$U = ku_c$$
 - U = expanded uncertainty
 - k = coverage factor (typically 2, or up to 3)

© Chris Mack, 2015 12

UNIVERSITY OF
TEXAS
AT AUSTIN

WHAT STARTS HERE CHANGES THE WORLD

Effective Degrees of Freedom

- When using the combined uncertainty, we usually assume that our measurement is t-distributed
- The effective number of degrees of freedom is given by the Welch-Satterthwaite approximation:

$$df_{eff} = \frac{(\sum u_i^2)^2}{\sum (u_i^4/df_i)}$$

- We often use this to calculate a coverage factor for a given probability (e.g., 95%)

© Chris Mack, 2015

13