



Indicator Variable Example

• Consider an etch process with material removed (y) versus time (x_1) for different etch tools (indicator variable x_2) $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \varepsilon$ • For tool A $(x_2 = 0)$, $\hat{y} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1$ • For tool B $(x_2 = 1)$, $\hat{y} = (\beta_0 + \beta_2) + \beta_1 x_1$ - Result: same slope (etch rate), but different intercepts (i.e., parallel lines)

With Interactions

• Now add an interaction term: $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_1 x_2 + \varepsilon$ • For tool A $(x_2 = 0)$, $\hat{y} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1$ • For tool B $(x_2 = 1)$, $\hat{y} = (\beta_0 + \beta_2) + (\beta_1 + \beta_3) x_1$ - Result: β_2 is the change in intercept and β_3 is the change in slope when the tool changes from A to B
- The fit is the same as if two separate regressions are performed (one for tool A and one for tool B)

Indicators for Multiple Levels

• Suppose there are three different tools $\begin{array}{ccc}
x_2 & x_3 \\
0 & 0 & \text{If the observation is from tool A} \\
1 & 0 & \text{If the observation is from tool B} \\
0 & 1 & \text{If the observation is from tool C}
\end{array}$ • For a levels, we need a-1 binary indicator variables

- Making the mistake of using a indicator variables for a levels produces perfect multicollinearity!

Nonlinear Regression

• We call our regression nonlinear if it is nonlinear in the coefficients

- Linear regression: $\hat{y} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(x)$ - Nonlinear regression: $\hat{y} = \beta_0 e^{\beta_1 x}$ • To find the best fit coefficients, we must use an iterative technique

- There are problems with local minima vs. global minimum

- The solution may depend on our initial guess

- Convergence can be slow (or may diverge)







